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How Are Life Insurance Sales?

Growth remains a major challenge

On inflation adjusted basis sales have been flat for over a decade and policy counts slipping.

• *Demographics still favorable* – *Flexibility of life insurance for multiple uses should support steady sales growth.*

• *Legacy liabilities and low interest rates remain a factor* – Continued source of risk and may see increased visibility from new Long Duration accounting standard 2018-12.

• *Life sales flat in 2019* – Annualized premiums for 9M19 unchanged Y-O-Y however policy count down 4%.

• *Recruiting increasingly industry concern* – With demise of career agency forces, where will new agents come from?
Life Insurance Sales - Premiums

Source: Industry Reports and LIMRA.
Life Insurance Sales - Policies

Source: Industry Reports and LIMRA.
Its All About Underwriting

How do life insurers make money?

Four Basic Pricing Assumptions

1. Mortality – Trends have generally been favorable for decades although beginning to change in some cohorts.

2. Long-term Interest Rates – Low rates in their 12th year and Federal Reserve now signaling long pause.

3. Lapses – Pricing makes on some product types growing source of strain.

4. Operating Expenses – Adverse experience on other assumptions causing insurers to consider all options to improve operating efficiencies.
InsurTech an Enabler and Disruptor

Technology can help revitalize life sales

Electronic Medical Records (EMRs) a keystone

• *Health and Life Insurance re-converging* – Medical data is fundamental requirement of the life underwriting process. It has been the slowest part of life insurers to evolve.

• *Should not take 3 months to issue a policy* – Only about 10 hours is spent underwriting. Remainder devoted to obtaining medical records and scheduling para-med exam.

• *Consumers want the Amazon purchase experience* – EMRs and Big Data analytics can help deliver that *thereby making life insurance easier to buy and easier to sell.*
InsurTech innovation well underway

- Electronic Medical Records – Traditionally the “choke point” in the underwriting process but “interoperability” initiatives underway may change that materially.

- DNA testing – Is broad based testing coming? What are its implications for underwriting, improving health?

- Big Data Analytics – Potential ability to leverage experience of data within the Social Security/Medicare data base could be a game changer for underwriting older age mortality.

- Privacy/Security – Use of data key source of concern with many large tech companies
Some Insurers Rapidly Embracing

Creating win:win for individuals & insurers

Some examples of innovation:

- **John Hancock** – Launched Vitality rewards/premium reduction program in 2015 and just introduced Aspire for diabetics.
- **Principal Financial** – My Principal Lifestyle allows policyholders to earn rewards for health activities.
- **Transamerica/Aegon** – Wealth + Health initiative provides multiple on-line resources to encourage healthy lifestyle.
- **Aetna** – Just launched Attain, a health program for individuals, making sure they keep to their goals, reach new ones, and stay healthy along the way.
Wearables an example

Get Apple Watch.
Get active. Get rewarded.
Cardiogram - Engagement Real Time

What's your heart telling you?

Your heart beats 102,000 times per day, and it reacts to everything that happens in your life—what you're eating, how you exercise, a stressful moment, or a happy memory.
Effectively a “Check Engine” Light

Cardiogram Intro - https://docsend.com/view/5a63k7b
One final example

https://www.johnhancockinsurance.com/aspire.html
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Patient Authorized Data Solutions for Life Insurance Underwriting
Life insurance carriers may use one or a combination of underwriting models, all of which have significant flaws – extending cycle times, increasing costs, and compromising protective value.

### Underwriting Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Simplified Issue</th>
<th>Fully Underwritten</th>
<th>Accelerated Underwriting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underwriting Models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance of all models can be improved with better access to higher quality electronic health data – and sufficient data enables development of new customized models.
### Traditional Fully Underwritten Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Part I (general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Part II (supplemental)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Medical history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Medical history (determined by fixed age and amount guidelines)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Initial Underwriting Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Traditional Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Paramedical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Laboratory testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Other medical / non-medical requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Electronic Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Prescription drug profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>MIB report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Motor vehicle report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Credit score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Medical Records (determined by separate APS guidelines and underwriter discretion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Traditional medical records (fka attending physician statements, APS reports)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Ratings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Offer &amp; Purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Approved and issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Approved and not taken out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Declined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Lost to attrition (dropped, withdrawn, incomplete)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ranges 2-12+ weeks, most commonly delayed by medical records**

Medical records are widely regarded as the gold standard for life insurance underwriting, but other requirements are prioritized due to more favorable speed, cost, and accessibility.
The Solution: Electronic Health Data

Electronic health data can bridge the gap on the underwriting continuum by serving as a multi-purpose screening tool between traditional and electronic requirements.

Electronic Underwriting Requirements
- Prescription drug (Rx) profile
- Medical Info Bureau (MIB) report
- Motor vehicle report (MVR)
- Credit score

Electronic Health Data
- More health information, with same ease of use…
- …at lower cost, with little to no friction for consumers

Traditional Underwriting Requirements
- Traditional medical records (APS)
- Paramedical examination
- Laboratory testing

Low cost, instant availability, increasing utilization

High cost, long cycle times, decreasing utilization

Without access to electronic health data, consumers are stuck in the middle…

Higher premiums, limited coverage options

Inconvenient and invasive underwriting process
Clareto Overview

Clareto enables the exchange of electronic health data, pursuant to HIPAA-compliant authorizations, to support life insurance underwriting and related use cases.

Insurance Partners
Life, Disability, & Other Non-major Medical Insurance Carriers & Distributors

- Accelerate underwriting timelines
- Increase placement and decrease attrition
- Reduce underwriting and acquisition costs
- Modernize the underwriting process with electronic health data at its core

Subject Matter Expertise, Network Development, & Technology Solutions

Data Partners
Health Information Exchanges (HIEs), Healthcare Providers, & EMR / HIE Vendors

- Reduce administrative burden and costs of processing medical record requests
- Develop high-value, non-treatment use cases to support sustainable interoperability
- Eliminate inefficiencies and trade-offs borne by consumers
- Expand access, simplify the path to coverage, and improve the consumer experience
Introduction to Health Information Exchanges

▪ In existence since the 1990s
▪ Most operate on a state-wide or regional basis
▪ May be government entities, public non-profit organizations, or privately-operated by one or more healthcare providers
▪ Supported by legislation and nearly a billion dollars in federal, state, and local public funding for development and ongoing operations of HIEs
▪ Common HIE services include master patient index, query-based document exchange, encounter alerts, results routing, image sharing, prescription drug monitoring, and public health reporting
▪ Provide the technical infrastructure and collaborative governance necessary for hospitals, health systems, physician practices, and other health care organizations to share patient information electronically

  – Key considerations include permitted purposes for exchanging data – primarily focused on Treatment, but may also include Payment, Operations, and Public Health
  – Accessing data for life insurance underwriting requires approval of Coverage as a permitted purpose
  – National interoperability programs, including the Sequoia Project’s eHealth Exchange and Carequality initiatives, permit “Uses and disclosures pursuant to an Authorization provided by the individual who is the subject of the Message or such individual’s personal representative as described in 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(g) of the HIPAA Regulations” but do not require participants to exchange data for this purpose
SSA Health IT Program for Expediting Disability Determinations

The U.S. Social Security Administration ("SSA") is one of the largest disability insurers in the world, and provides a valuable proof-of-concept for utilization of electronic health data

- Requests more than 15 million medical records from 500,000 providers – at estimated costs of $500 million – to make decisions on 3 million disability claims annually
- The process of requesting and gathering medical records is the most frequent source of delay in SSA’s disability determination process
  - May take weeks or months to receive records
  - Most records are received in form of faxed or scanned images
- In 2008, SSA began working with other federal and private organizations to enable the electronic exchange of health information to improve the speed and consistency of the disability determination process
  - Reduces time to request and receive records to minutes or hours
  - Enables electronic analysis of data in records
A Brief History

Clareto’s experience operating MedVirginia HIE positions us to work successfully with HIEs, the national networks, and other healthcare organizations to advance interoperability.

- eHealth Exchange, the nation’s largest query-based health information network, recently celebrated its 10th anniversary, marking a milestone in standards-based exchange of electronic health data.

- “Did you know on February 28, 2009, the first data exchange on the network occurred between the Social Security Administration [SSA’s Health IT Program for expediting disability determinations] and MedVirginia? Later that same year, the Veterans Health Administration and MedVirginia began sharing data, followed by a connection between the Department of Defense and Kaiser Permanente.”

Clareto is now facilitating authorized electronic release of health information with eHealth Exchange participants, including HIEs, specifically for life insurance underwriting.
Single Point Access

Health Information Exchanges

Single Point of Access to Electronic Health Data Sources

Underwriter

eHealth Exchange  Veradigm eChart Courier  Epic Chart Gateway  Carequality  Commonwell  Additional EMR Gateways

50+ EMR Vendors

CLARETO
Alternative (or Additive) Data Sources

While Underwriters and Medical Directors regard Physician Reports as the “Gold Standard” in Underwriting, access to electronic health data has been limited to date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Sources</th>
<th>EMR Vendors</th>
<th>Healthcare Providers</th>
<th>Patient Ports</th>
<th>HIEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geography / Market Coverage</td>
<td>Few large players operate nationally with meaningful market share, but limited concentration thereafter</td>
<td>Most operate regionally or locally with significant market fragmentation (but consolidation is increasing)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Operate on state-wide or regional basis (have achieved match rates of ~70% in pilot studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorization Requirements</td>
<td>Dependent on clients</td>
<td>May not accept insurer’s authorization form; require PI to sign another form</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use insurer’s authorization form obtained as part of normal application process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-selection Potential</td>
<td>Rely on PI to self-report where care was received</td>
<td>Rely on PI to self-report where care was received</td>
<td></td>
<td>Search for PI within HIE; no need to specify physician or site of care; may find data from multiple providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Alignment / Executive Attention</td>
<td>Not part of core offering; low priority within interoperability strategy</td>
<td>Afterthought to providing patient care; overseen by HIM/ROI, IT, legal, HIPAA privacy/security</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aligns with data exchange focus; critical diversification opportunity; given attention by CEO and BOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance / Approvals Process</td>
<td>Dependent on clients</td>
<td>Varies by provider organization</td>
<td></td>
<td>BOD approves, pushes policy change to all participating providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Considerations</td>
<td>&lt;5% of annual revenue; must share with clients</td>
<td>&lt;1% of annual revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>May cover ~10% to 25% of annual operating budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clareto pursues all sources, but prioritizes HIEs as strategic points of aggregation
Methods to source electronic health data have unique pro’s and con’s.

Health Information Exchange
- Favorable Governance Structure
- Regional Data Build
- “CliffsNotes” Medical History
- Breadth of data
- Predictability of Obtaining Data
- Authorization and Time Service

EMR Vendors
- Data Quality Closest to APS
- Large EMR Vendors Participating
- Governance slowing roll-out
- Multiple Docs = Multiple Fees

Patient Portals
- Unlimited Coverage Potential
- Administrative Issues
- Anti-Selection must be solved
- Poor client experience; friction
HIE Data Pipeline
Clareto has made significant strides in building a national data network, comprising state and regional HIEs as well as national EMR vendors.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Addressable Population</th>
<th>Market Coverage</th>
<th>Estimated Lives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>5.1 Million</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>4.9 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>5.1 Million</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>4.1 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYC/Long Island</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>20.1 Million</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>17.1 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>3.1 Million</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>2.9 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>975K</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>965K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>2.1 Million</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>1.4 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Clareto HIE Data Partner Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Addressable Population</th>
<th>Market Coverage</th>
<th>Estimated Lives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern TN</td>
<td>Implementing</td>
<td>6.7 Million</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>785K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>Pending Approval</td>
<td>7.1 Million</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>4.1 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Pending Approval</td>
<td>5.6 Million</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>4.9 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Pending Approval</td>
<td>11.6 Million</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>9.3 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Pending Approval</td>
<td>5.8 Million</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>3.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Addressable Population</td>
<td>Market Coverage</td>
<td>Estimated Lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>39M</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>13.2 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>12.8 Million</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>3.9 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>9.9 Million</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>8.2 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>19.6 Million</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>10.7 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>3 Million</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>1.9 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>4.8 Million</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>1.7 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>7.9 Million</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>6.2 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Life insurers report that Clareto HIE data is useful across multiple underwriting scenarios:

**Traditional APS Replacement**
Elimination of a traditional APS possible in a majority of cases studied. Cost Benefit attractive given the significantly lower cost and near real-time availability of HIE data.

**Anti-Selection Detection**
Numerous carriers have discovered that HIE data enables discovery of undisclosed physicians and medical conditions on a material number of applicants.

**Accelerated Underwriting Input**
The depth and breadth of HIE data makes it an attractive input for determining qualification for accelerated underwriting programs.
We appreciate your consideration.

For more information, please contact:

Dave Dorans  
CEO Clareto  
ddorans@clareto.com

Colin Devine  
ColinDevine & Associates  
colin.devine@cdevineassociates.com